

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/829,306 | 04/09/2001 | Carl A. Caspers | 13722-178 | 6882 |
| 7590 03/22/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| WILLIAM K. WEIMER | | | MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H | |
| FEAGRE & BENSON, LLP 220 WELLS FARGO CENTER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55401-3901 | | | 3738 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| Notice of Aboudousses | 09/829,036 | BUTLER ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Matthews, William H | 3738 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Merceived for reply (including a total extension of time of) | lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8). | | the statutory period of three months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | · |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | |
| | | Barbara J Jebnam Management & Program Analyst |
| | | Management & Program Analyst |